


Intramolecular Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement of Alkyl- or Arylamines Promoted by PPh₃/Diethyl Azodicarboxylate

Zhong-Li Xu, Ping Xing,* and Biao Jiang*

CAS Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry of Natural Substances, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China


S Supporting Information



The 1-azaspirocycle ring system is widely observed in natural products, such as histrionicotoxin,¹ cephalotaxine,² halichlorine,³ stemonamine,⁴ and erysotramidine⁵ (Figure 1).

Many reactions have been developed to build this structural motif, and most of the synthetic strategies require two steps to separately build the tertiary carbon center and the spirocycle,⁶ although few methods are able to construct this challenging framework via one-step routes. In 2011, Alaniz presented a novel cascade strategy for the formation of 1-azaspirocycles by applying the aza-Piancatelli rearrangement. This reaction is

Scheme 1. Intramolecular Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement



simple and inexpensive and can be applied to many different arylamines with high yields; however, no alkylamines have been used.⁷ In the following years, Alaniz reported similar reactions, including intramolecular Piancatelli rearrangement of alcohols and donor-acceptor cyclopropanes.^{8,9} When substrates with hydroxylamine were attempted, the structural motif was obtained in high yields, but the cleavage of N–O bonds failed using the traditional methods.¹⁰ Here, we present the first intramolecular aza-Piancatelli rearrangement of alkylamines, and this protocol can also be applied to arylamines with better yields (Scheme 1). Thus, we can avoid the cleavage of C–N or




Figure 1. Natural products with 1-azaspirocycle ring systems.

Received: January 3, 2017

Published: February 16, 2017

Scheme 2. Synthetic Routes of Furylcarbinols




Table 1. Studies of the Intramolecular Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement Reaction Conditions

entry	promoter (equiv)	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield ^a (%)
1	Dy(OTf) ₃ (0.05)	CH ₃ CN	80	36	nr
2	Dy(OTf) ₃ (0.05), TsOH•H ₂ O (1.00)	CH ₃ CN	80	36	nr
3	ZnCl ₂ (0.05)	DME/H ₂ O (1:1)	100	2	nr
4	PPh ₃ (1.20) DEAD (1.20)	THF	0 to rt	24	28
5	ADDP ^b (1.50) Bu ₃ P (1.50)	benzene	50	8	nr
6	PPh ₃ (1.20) CCl ₄ (1.20) TEA (1.20)	DMF	0 to rt	12	nr
7	PPh ₃ (1.30) NBS (1.20) TEA (1.20)	DCM	0 to rt	12	nr
8	PPh ₃ (1.50) DEAD ^c (1.50)	DMF	0 to rt	3	48
9	PPh ₃ (1.50) DEAD (1.50)	DMAc	0 to rt	2	70
10	PPh ₃ (1.50) DEAD (1.50)	CH ₃ CN	rt	3	40
11	PPh ₃ (1.50) DEAD (1.50)	DCM	rt	3	24
12	PPh ₃ (1.50) DEAD (1.50)	DMSO	rt	3	20
13	PPh ₃ (1.50) DEAD (1.50) PPTS ^d (0.20)	DMAc	rt	0.5	78

^aIsolated yield. ^bADDP = 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine. ^cDEAD = diethyl azodicarboxylate. ^dPPTS = pyridinium toluene-4-sulfonate.

O–N bonds by introducing the group we need to connect with the N atom first, simplifying the synthetic route.

All of the furylcarbinols were synthesized using standard synthetic procedures (Scheme 2): methyl 5-bromo-2-furoate was used as the starting material, and one Heck reaction step then provided the aldehyde 3. After condensation with amine 4


Table 2. Studies on Intramolecular Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement

entry	time (h)	product	yield (%) ^b
1	0.5	1a	78
2	0.5	1b	72
3	0.5	1c	71
4	0.5	1d	72
5	0.5	1e	83
6	0.5	1f	93
7	0.5	1g	89
8	0.5	1h	88
9	12	1i	45
10	12	1j	44
11	12	1k	28
12	12	1l	42
13	12	1m	35


^aAdded when R is an alkyl group. ^bIsolated yield.

Scheme 3. Studies of the Chiral Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement

Replace PPTS with chiral acid catalysts



Rearrangement of (*R*)-(-)- α -methylbenzylamine substrate




and a Borch reaction, we obtained amine **5**, which was then reduced by LiAlH_4 to yield furylcarbinol **2**.

Furylcarbinol **2a** was selected as a model substrate. Because no aza-Piancatelli rearrangement of alkylamine furylcarbinols have been reported, we first tried the Lewis acid $\text{Dy}(\text{OTf})_3$, $\text{Dy}(\text{OTf})_3/\text{TsOH}$, or ZnCl_2 as the catalyst, but no product was detected (Table 1, entries 1–3). Perhaps the strong Lewis basicity of the alkylamines could poison the Lewis acids. We turned our attention to nonacidic conditions to promote leaving of the hydroxyl group, which is considered to be the start of the aza-Piancatelli rearrangement. After attempting several conditions, such as PPh_3/DEAD ,¹¹ $n\text{-Bu}_3\text{P}/\text{ADDP}$,¹² $\text{PPh}_3/\text{CCl}_4/\text{TEA}$, and $\text{PPh}_3/\text{NBS}/\text{TEA}$ (Table 1, entries 4–7),^{13,14} we successfully isolated the aza-Piancatelli rearrangement product in 28% yield using the $\text{PPh}_3/\text{DEAD}/\text{THF}$ system. We then screened several solvents, including DMF, DMAc, CH_3CN , DCM, and DMSO (Table 1, entries 8–12). DMAc was found to be the best, possibly because DMAc is favorable for proton migration, which is very important in the rearrangement process. When a catalytic amount of PPTS was added for the alkylamine substrates, the yield could be further improved (Table 1, entry 13).


The scope of the aza-Piancatelli rearrangement was investigated. For the synthesis of 1-azaspiro[4.4]nonanes from alkylamines, we chose several R groups, such as phenylethyl, *n*-butyl, or benzyl. The yields ranged from 71 to 78% (Table 2, entries 1–4). For the synthesis of 1-azaspiro[4.4]nonanes from arylamines, the yields were much better, regardless of whether the substituent was electron-withdrawing or electron-donating (Table 2, entries 5–8). Moving the methoxyl group from the para position to the ortho position had little influence on the yields (Table 2, entries 7 and 8). When we applied this method to construction of the 6-azaspiro[4.5]decane ring system, the yields decreased substantially for both the alkylamine substrates and the aromatic amine substrates. We suggest that the large ring strain of the six-membered heterocycle restricted the aza-Piancatelli arrangement. For the alkylamine substrates, the yields were

Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanism for Intramolecular Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement


With PPTS



Without PPTS



Byproduct route:



approximately 45% (Table 2, entries 9 and 10), and for aromatic amine substrates, the yields ranged from 28 to 42% (Table 2, entries 11–13). The yields of the alkylamine substrates were higher than those of the aromatic amine substrates, which was opposite the result for the 1-azaspiro[4.4]nonanes. When there were substituents in the benzene ring of the arylamine substrates, the yields were higher, which was consistent with the results for the 1-azaspiro[4.4]nonanes.

Furylcarbinol **2a** was chosen as model to study the chiral aza-Piancatelli rearrangement (Scheme 3y clearan). We tried to replace the PPTS with chiral acid catalysts, such as catalyst (*R*)-(-)-1,1'-Binaphthyl-2,2'-diylhydrogen phosphate **A** or catalyst (*S*)-2'-Ethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalen-2-ol **B**. Only racemic product was obtained. The rearrangement of furylcarbinol **6** using (*R*)-(-)- α -methylbenzylamine as chiral auxiliary occurred with little control of stereoselectivity.

The proposed mechanism is analogous to the intramolecular aza-Piancatelli rearrangement catalyzed by a Lewis acid,⁷ except for the leaving method of the hydroxyl group. The proposed

mechanism is described in [Scheme 4](#): PPh₃ reacts with DEAD to form intermediate I, which is consistent with the Mitsunobu reaction. Intermediate I acquires a proton from PPTS to form intermediate II, which is then attracted by substrate 2 to form intermediate III. Intermediate III undergoes molecular rearrangement to form intermediate IV, which then converts to V. Intermediate V undergoes Nazarov rearrangement, yielding intermediate VI, which produces the desired product 1 after deprotonation. Without PPTS, intermediate I obtained a proton from compound 2, formed intermediate VII, and rearranged to intermediate VIII, after ring open and ring close, to yield the product 1. The byproduct is formed though the leaving the OPPH₃ of intermediate VII. PPTS can transform a proton to intermediate I and, thus, form intermediate III instead of intermediate VII. In this way, the byproduct route is depressed. What's more, the transformation from intermediate IV to intermediate V is easier than the transformation from intermediate VIII to intermediate IX, and the rate of aza-Piancatelli rearrangement is increased.

In conclusion, we have developed an intramolecular aza-Piancatelli rearrangement that is suitable for both alkyl- and arylamine furylcarbinols. This is the first report of the aza-Piancatelli rearrangement of alkylamines; thus, the cleaving of C–N bonds for arylamines or N–O bonds for hydroxylamine can be avoided. The reaction is promoted by PPh₃/DEAD for arylamine furylcarbinols and PPh₃/DEAD/PPTS for alkylamine furylcarbinols at room temperature. Because it proceeds without Lewis acids or high temperatures, this reaction is expected to be tolerant to acid-sensitive substrates or substrates that decompose at high temperatures.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

■ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acs.orglett.6b03853](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.6b03853).

Full experimental details, spectroscopic data, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for the compounds ([PDF](#))

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: xingping@sioc.ac.cn.

*E-mail: jiangb@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

ORCID

Biao Jiang: [0000-0002-4292-7811](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4292-7811)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (15DZ2281500, NSFC2167020782) and the Knowledge Innovation Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KGCX2-YW-202-2).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Daly, J. W.; Karle, I.; Myers, C. W.; Tokuyama, T.; Waters, J. A.; Witkop, B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1971**, *68*, 1870–1875.
- (2) Paudler, W. W.; Kerley, G. I.; McKay, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, *28*, 2194–2197.
- (3) Kuramoto, M.; Tong, C.; Yamada, K.; Chiba, T.; Hayashi, Y.; Uemura, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 3867–3870.

- (4) (a) Iizuka, H.; Irie, H.; Masaki, N.; Osaki, K.; Uyeo, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1973**, 125–126. (b) Ye, Y.; Qin, G.-W.; Xu, R.-S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1994**, *57*, 665–669.
- (5) Ito, K.; Suzuki, F.; Haruna, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1978**, 733–734.
- (6) Dake, G. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 3467–3492.
- (7) Palmer, L. I.; Alaniz, J. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7167–7170.
- (8) Palmer, L. I.; Read de Alaniz, J. R. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 476–479.
- (9) Wenz, D. R.; Read de Alaniz, J. R. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3250–3253.
- (10) Veits, G. K.; Wenz, D. R.; Palmer, L. I.; Amant, A. S.; Hein, J. E.; Alaniz, J. R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 8465–8469.
- (11) Mitsunobu, O. *Synthesis* **1981**, *1981*, 1–28.
- (12) Boger, D. L.; Mckie, J. A.; Nishi, T.; Ogiku, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 2301–2302.
- (13) Appel, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1975**, *14*, 801–811.
- (14) Schmida, R.; Antoulas, S.; Rüttimann, A.; Schmid, M.; Vecchi, M.; Weiserb, H. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *73*, 1276–1299.